

MODEL BASED SYSTEMS ENGINEERING VALUE

Research has shown a **3.5-7x** for programs which have medium to no current systems engineering effort ⁷



Research shows the optimal percentage of Systems Engineering budget on a project is between **8-19%** ⁶ with factors like system complexity and lifespan

One study reported a productivity increases of **150-700%** for programs which transitioned to MBSE ¹¹



One case study detailed a MBSE ROI estimate up to **144%** per year over 10 years ³

Other studies found the total development cost was reduced by **13-55%** by switching from traditional SE to MBSE ^{3 4 8 9}



Multiple studies found a project with MBSE was delivered up to **3x** faster than a similar project without MBSE ⁸

One study found an **80%** confidence interval that cost and schedule are impacted by MBSE ⁷

One study found switching to MBSE increased the probability of making successful design decisions by **20-33%** ³



VERIFICATION & VALIDATION

One case study found that verification costs were reduced by **70%** by using MBSE with a test automation tool ¹⁰

Another case study found that validation costs were reduced by **75%** by implementing MBSE on a safety critical project ⁹

Study showed implementing MBSE saw a **68%** reduction in defects ^{2 3}

One study showed how MBSE improved defect detection rates by **10-50%** for the ¹¹ same teams



One study showed how the cost to fix defects can be **100x** higher in late SDLC phases ³

Another study showed traditional approach to fix defects cost **20x** more than with MBSE ⁴

One case study detailed how by implementing MBSE **70%** of defects were now captured in the simulation stage of project compared to past projects which reach 70% in flight-test stage ³



One study showed by switching to MBSE, the number of integrated system components increased by **60%**

The average number of monthly baselines increased by **30%**

One study showed that volatility equates to schedule delays and handling volatility after development stage can result in a **5-10x** increase in effort ¹²



The complexity of these baselines increased by **7.5%**

The number of discrete systems engineering products produced by the team increased by **60%** ¹⁴

One study showed the average cost to develop a MBSE model dropped by **83.3%** over a 5 year period ¹³

MBSE BENEFIT BY SECTOR

	System Complexity (C)	Environment Complexity (E)	Lifespan (L)
Aerospace & Defense	9.8	9.9	9.8
Transportation	9.5	9.8	9.8
Energy	9.5	9.9	9.8
Natural Resources	9.5	9.5	9.8
Marine & Offshore	7.5	7.5	9.8
Industrial	8.2	3.5	9.0
Life Sciences	7.0	4.5	8.5
High-Tech	6.5	2.8	2.0
Financial Services	6.5	1.5	7.0
Consumer Goods	2.0	1.0	1.0

BOOZ ALLEN DIGITAL ENGINEERING

BOOZ ALLEN HAMILTON DIGITAL ENGINEERING TEAM

Digital Engineering at Booz Allen spans the integration of Model-Based Systems Engineering (MBSE) and traditional Model-Based Engineering (MBE). Booz Allen is striving to become the premier organization in the digital engineering space. Our clients span all Booz Allen markets across the United States.



ABOUT BOOZ ALLEN HAMILTON

For more than 100 years, military, government, and business leaders have turned to Booz Allen Hamilton to solve their most complex problems. As a consulting firm with experts in analytics, digital, engineering, and cyber, we help organizations transform. We are a key partner on some of the most innovative programs for governments worldwide and trusted by their most sensitive agencies. We work shoulder to shoulder with clients, using a mission-first approach to choose the right strategy and technology to help them realize their vision. To learn more, visit BoozAllen.com.

SOURCES

- [1] A. Madni and S. Purohit, Economic Analysis of Model-Based Systems Engineering, Systems, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 12, 2019
- [2] S. Saunders, Does a Model Based Systems Engineering Approach Provide Real Program Savings? – Lessons Learnt. Edinburgh: Raytheon, 2011
- [3] E. Carroll and R. Malins, Systematic Literature Review: How is Model Based Systems Engineering Justified?. Albuquerque: Sandia National Laboratories, 2016
- [4] J. Tyreman, G. Saroch and R. Byers, Achieving MBSE Benefits amidst Multiple Government Program Office System of System Challenges, 2020
- [5] C. Tommasi and E. Vacca, How Model-Based SE Makes Product/System Lifecycle Management Framework More Effective. PTC, Product & Service Advantage, 2014
- [6] Walden, G. Roedler, K. Forsberg, R. Hamelin and T. Shortell, INCOSE Systems Engineering Handbook. Hoboken: Wiley, 2015
- [7] E. Honour, Systems Engineering Return on Investment. University of South Australia, 2013
- [8] M. Gooden, Return on Investment for Complex Projects Utilizing Model Based Systems Engineering (MBSE). Washington, DC: George Washington University
- [9] M. Hause, How to Fail at MBSE. Atego, 2013
- [10] Determining Return on Investment for MBSE, SE & SoSE. Sydney: Shoal Engineering Pty Ltd, 2015
- [11] Raytheon Findings on MBSE. Colorado: Integrated Defense Systems, 2012
- [12] M. Pena, R. Valerdi, Characterizing the Impact of Requirements Volatility on Systems Engineering Effort. Wiley, 2014
- [13] J. Krasner Ph.D, How Product Development Organizations can Achieve Long Term Cost Savings Using Model-Based Systems Engineering (MBSE). Embedded Forecasters, 2015
- [14] Zingarelli, M. A., Wright, S. R., Pallack, R. J., and Mattos, K. C., SWFTS - System Engineering Applied to Submarine Combat Systems, Falls Church, VA: 2010

For more information contact:

Michael Williams
Lead Engineer
williams_michael2@bah.com

Tamara Zeki
Staff Engineer
zeki_tamara@bah.com

Kevin Weinstein
Chief Engineer
weinstein_kevin@bah.com

Leonard Brownlow
Chief Engineer
Brownlow_Leonard@bah.com

John Silvas
Distinguished Engineer
Silvas_John@bah.com